

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

10 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

11 SAN ANTONIO COMMUNITY HOSPITAL,
et al.,

12 Plaintiffs

13 v. CIV. NO. S-05-409-WBS-DAD

14 SANDRA SHEWRY, Director of the
15 Department of Health Services,
State of California,

16 Defendant.

17 _____ / RELATED CASE ORDER

18 JAMES CLAYWORTH, R.Ph. et al.,

19 Plaintiff,

20 v. CIV. NO. S-03-2110-DFL-PAN

21 DIANA M. BONTA, Director of the
22 Department of Health Services of
California,

23 Defendant.

24 _____ /

25 ///

26 ///

1 CALIFORNIA MEDICAL ASSOCIATION,
2 et al.,

3 Plaintiffs,

4 v.

CIV. NO. S-03-2336-DFL-PAN

5 DIANA M. BONTA, Director of the
6 Department of Health Services of
7 California,

8 Defendant.
9 _____/

10 JAMES CLAYWORTH, R.Ph., et al.,

11 Plaintiffs

12 v.

CIV. NO. S-04-1729-DFL-PAN

13 SANDRA SHEWRY, Director of the
14 Department of Health Services,
15 State of California,

16 Defendant.
17 _____/

18 CALIFORNIA ASSOCIATION OF MEDICAL
19 PRODUCT SUPPLIERS, et al.,

20 Plaintiffs,

21 v.

CIV. NO. S-04-1124-DFL-GGH

22 SANDRA SHEWRY, Director of the
23 Department of Health Services,
24 State of California,

25 Defendant.
26 _____/

27 ///

28 ///

29 ///

30 ///

31 ///

1 DEVELOPMENTAL SERVICES NETWORK,
et al.,

2 Plaintiffs,
3
4 v.

CIV. NO. S-04-1501-DFL-PAN

5 SANDRA SHEWRY, Director of the
6 Department of Health Services,
7 State of California,

8 Defendants.
9 _____ /
10

11 Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that
12 these actions are related within the meaning of Local Rule 83-
13 123 (E.D. Cal. 2005). Accordingly, the assignment of the
14 matters to the same judge and magistrate judge is likely to
15 effect a substantial savings of judicial effort and is also
16 likely to be convenient for the parties.

17 The parties should be aware that relating the cases under
18 Local Rule 83-123 merely has the result that these actions are
19 assigned to the same judge and magistrate judge; no
20 consolidation of the actions is effected. Under the regular
practice of this court, related cases are generally assigned to
the judge and magistrate judge to whom the first filed action
was assigned.


21 IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the action denominated CIV.
22 NO. S-05-409-WBS-DAD and CIV. NO. S-04-1124-DFL-GGH be
23 reassigned to Judge David F. Levi and Magistrate Peter A.
24 Nowinski for all further proceedings, and any dates currently
25 set in this reassigned case only are hereby VACATED. The
parties are referred to the attached Order Requiring Joint

1 Status Report. Henceforth, the caption on documents filed in
2 the reassigned case shall be shown as CIV. NO. S-05-409-DFL-PAN
3 and CIV. S-04-1124-DFL-PAN.

4 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court make
5 appropriate adjustment in the assignment of civil cases to
6 compensate for this reassignment.

7 IT IS SO ORDERED.

8 DATED: 6/9/2005

9
10 
11 DAVID F. LEVI
12 United States District Judge
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26